

Traces of Torsion Units

Juriaans, S. O. ^{*} De A. E Silva, A. Souza Filho, A. C. [†]

Abstract

A conjecture due to Zassenhaus asserts that if G is a finite group then any torsion unit in $\mathbb{Z}G$ is conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}G$ to an element of G . Here a weaker form of this conjecture is proved for some infinite groups.

1 Introduction

Let G be a group and let $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be the group of units of augmentation one of the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}G$. Given elements $\alpha = \sum \alpha(g)g \in \mathbb{Z}G$ and $g \in G$, we denote by C_g the conjugacy class of g in G and set $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = \sum_{h \in C_g} \alpha(h)$. If G is a finite group a conjecture of Zassenhaus (see [8, 9, 10]) states that every torsion element $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is rationally conjugate to a group element. For finite groups this is equivalent to the following (see [1, 9]): for every $\gamma \in \langle \alpha \rangle$ there exists an element $g_0 \in G$, unique up to conjugacy, such that $\tilde{\gamma}(g_0) \neq 0$. This leads to the following definition. A unit $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is said to have the *unique trace property* if there exists an element $g \in G$, unique up to conjugacy, such that $\tilde{\alpha}(g) \neq 0$. As in [1], a group G has the *unique trace property* (**UT-property**) if every element $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of finite order has the unique trace property. In [1] it is proved that nilpotent groups are UT-groups. Let p be a rational prime. We say that a group G is a **p-UT** group if every torsion unit of prime power order has the unique trace property.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we prove some preliminary results which are used in the last section to exhibit new classes of UT and p -UT groups. The main difficulty is to show that if G is a group and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}G$ is a torsion unit, then $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = 0$ for elements $g \in G$ of infinite order. This, together with a reduction to the finite case, is the main tool used here. The first results in this direction are from [1].

2 Preliminary Results

We begin by proving a result which, in some cases, deals with the traces of elements of infinite order.

Proposition 2.1 *Let G be a locally noetherian by finite group and $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ a torsion unit. Then $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = 0$ for any $g \in G$ of infinite order.*

^{*}Research supported by CNPq-Brazil.

[†]Research supported by FAPESP-Brazil.

⁰ AMS Subject Classification: Primary 20C05, 20C07, 16S34. Secondary 16U60.

Key words and frases: Group Rings, torsion units, unique trace property.

Proof. Suppose that $\tilde{\alpha}(g) \neq 0$. Then, by [1, Prop. 2] there exists an integer $k > 1$ and an element $x \in G$ such that $x^{-1}gx = g^k$. If x is of finite order, set $m = o(x)$. Then $g = x^{-m}gx^m = g^{k^m}$ and hence we have a contradiction.

Suppose that $o(x) = \infty$ and let H be a normal locally noetherian subgroup of finite index in G . Then there exists an integer $m > 0$ such that x^m and g^m are in H . Set $t = x^m$, $h = g^m$, $n = k^m$ and $H_0 = \langle t, h \rangle$. Then $t^{-1}ht = h^n$, and since H_0 is noetherian we must have that $n = 1$ and consequently $k = 1$, a contradiction. ■

The previous result extends one of [1]. Note that to prove the proposition we do not need H to be normal. The only thing we need is that for any $g \in G$ of infinite order, there exists an integer $n = n(g)$ such that $g^n \in H$. As a consequence we have the following result.

Corollary 2.2 *Let G be a group, H a normal locally noetherian torsion free subgroup of finite index in G and $A < \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ a finite subgroup. Then the order of A divides $[G : H]$.*

Proof. If we denote by Ψ the natural projection of $\mathbb{Z}G$ onto $\mathbb{Z}(G/H)$ then we just have to show that Ψ is injective on A . In order to show this let α be an element of A which is mapped to 1 by Ψ . We have $1 = \Psi(\alpha) = \sum_{g \notin H} \alpha_g \Psi(g) + \sum_{g \in H} \alpha_g \Psi(g) = \sum_{g \notin H} \alpha_g \Psi(g) + \alpha(1)$. In the last equality we used the torsion freeness of H and Proposition 2.1. It follows that $1 \in \text{supp}(\alpha)$ and thus, by [6, Theorem 7.3.1], $\alpha = 1$. ■

The infinite dihedral group has a normal cyclic subgroup of index 2. Hence a non-trivial finite subgroup of $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ has order 2 (see [8]).

Given a group G , $T(G)$ denotes the set of elements of finite order of G . In general this is not a subgroup of G .

3 The UT and p -UT Property

In this section we study the UT and p -UT-property and give examples of classes of groups having one of these properties.

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a group, H a normal locally noetherian torsion free subgroup of finite index and suppose that $T(G)$ is a subgroup. If G/H is a UT-group then G is also a UT-group.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit and $g \in G$ an element. If g is of infinite order then, by Proposition 2.1, we have that $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = 0$.

If g has finite order, denote by β and \bar{g} the projections of α and g in $\mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}(G/H))$. Let $C_{\bar{g}}$ be the conjugacy class of \bar{g} . Then it is easy to see that $C_{\bar{g}}$ is the projection of the subset $S = \{k \in G : k = t^{-1}gth, h \in H, t \in G\}$. Since $T(G)$ is a normal subgroup and H is normal and torsion free, we see that $S \cap T(G) = C_g$. Furthermore, if we write $S = S_1 \cup C_g$, where S_1 are the elements of infinite order of S , then S_1 is a normal subset of G . Writing S_1 as a disjoint union of conjugacy classes and applying Proposition 2.1, it follows that $\sum_{h \in S_1} \alpha(h) = 0$ and hence $\tilde{\beta}(\bar{g}) = \sum_{h \in S} \alpha(h) = \tilde{\alpha}(g)$. Since, by our assumption, G/H is a UT-group the result follows. ■

Corollary 3.2 ([1]) *Let G be a locally nilpotent group. Then G is a UT-group.*

Proof. We may suppose that G is finitely generated. This, together with [7, 5.4.6], [7, 5.4.15] and [10], gives that the hypothesis of the theorem are satisfied. Hence G is a UT-group. ■

If G is a group and $g \in G$ is an element we denote by $K(g) = [g, G]$. Let now G be a group generated by an element t and an abelian normal subgroup A such that $t^{-1}at = a^{-1}$ for any $a \in A$ and $t^2 \in A$. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit. By Proposition 2.1, we have that $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = 0$ for every element of infinite order. Now let $g = ta \in G$ be an element which is not in A . We compute $K(g)$. If $b \in A$ then $[g, b] = [t, b] = b^{-2}$. If $h = tb$ then $[g, h] = [ta, tb] = [tb, t][a, tb] = [b, t][a, t] = (ba)^{-2}$. Hence $K(g) = \{a^2 : a \in A\}$. So we have the following result:

Lemma 3.3 *Let G be a group generated by an abelian subgroup A and an element $t \in G$, such that $t^{-1}at = a^{-1}$ for any $a \in A$ and $t^2 \in A$. Then*

1. *For every $g \notin A$ we have that $K(g) = \{a^2 : a \in A\}$*
2. *If $g \notin A$ then $gK(g) = C_g$.*

Proof. The considerations above show that (1) holds. So, let $g\theta \in gK(g)$. Since $g \notin A$ we have that conjugation by g inverts the elements of A . By (1) we have that $\theta = \varphi^2$ for some $\varphi \in A$. Setting $t = g\varphi$ we see easily that $t^{-1}g\theta t = g$. ■

Remark : Note that item (2) of the previous Lemma holds whenever the elements of $K(g)$ are squares and are inverted by g .

Recently, it was proved that the Zassenhaus conjecture is true for the class of finite groups $H = KX$, where X is a cyclic group which is normal in G and K is Abelian, [3]. It would be interesting to know if the conjecture still holds for groups $G = KX$, where K is a normal Abelian subgroup of G without 2-Sylow subgroup and X is a cyclic subgroup.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $G = \langle t, A : t^2 \in A, t^{-1}at = a^{-1}, \forall a \in A \rangle$ where A is an abelian normal subgroup of G . Then G is a p -UT-group.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit and $g \in G$ an element of the support of α . Suppose first that $o(g) = \infty$. Note that $[G : A] = 2$ and hence, by Proposition 2.1, $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = 0$. Secondly, suppose that $g \notin A$. By Lemma 3.3, we have that $gK(g) = C_g$. Notice that $gK(g)$ is central in the quotient group $G/K(g)$ and hence, by [1, Prop. 4], we have that $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = \sum_{h \in gK(g)} \alpha(h) = 0$ or 1.

Finally we consider a torsion element $g \in T(A)$; since the support of α is finite and $t^2 \in A$, we may suppose that A is finitely generated. In particular A is a polycyclic group and hence, by [7, 5.4.15], we have that there exist $H \triangleleft A$, which is torsion free and of finite index. Note that, since A is abelian and conjugation by t inverts the elements of A , H will also be normal in G . Consider the quotient group $\overline{G} = G/H$. The group \overline{G} is metabelian and thus, by a result of [2], has the p -UT-property. Let \overline{g} be the projection of g in \overline{G} . Then it is easily seen that $C_{\overline{g}}$ is the projection of the subset $S = \{b \in A : b = x^{-1}axh, h \in H, x \in G\}$. Note that we may write S as a disjoint union $S = C_g \cup S_1$ where $S_1 = \{b \in S : h \neq 1\}$ is a normal subset of G whose elements are all of infinite order. Writing S_1 as a disjoint union of conjugacy classes, we conclude, by Proposition 2.1, that $\sum_{h \in S} \alpha(h) = \tilde{\alpha}(g)$. Consider the projection $\Psi : \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\overline{G}$ and let $\beta = \Psi(\alpha)$. Then, since \overline{G} is a p -UT-group, we have that $\sum_{h \in S} \alpha(h) = \sum_{\overline{h} \in C_{\overline{g}}} \beta(\overline{h}) = \tilde{\beta}(\overline{g}) \in \{0, 1\}$. Hence $\tilde{\alpha}(g) \in \{0, 1\}$ for every element $g \in G$. Since α has augmentation 1, it follows that G has the p -UT property. ■

A group G is called a T-group if normality is transitive in G . Let G be a solvable T-group and set $A = C_G(G')$. If A is not a torsion group then, by a result of [7, 13.4.9], we have that G satisfies the condition of Theorem 3.4 and hence G is a p -UT-group.

We now consider groups G whose derived subgroup is cyclic of infinite order, say $G' = \langle \rho \rangle$. We shall use this notation in the following results.

Lemma 3.5 *Let G be a group with cyclic derived subgroup; then*

1. *If $g \in T(G)$ centralizes ρ then g is central.*
2. *Elements of odd order are central.*
3. *$\{g^2 : g \in T(G)\} \subseteq Z(G)$.*
4. *If $g \in G$ has infinite order and $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ is an element of finite order then $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = 0$.*

Proof. (1) Let $g \in T(G)$ and $x \in G$ then, since $\langle \rho \rangle$ is normal in G , we have that $g^{-1}xg = x\rho^k$ for some integer k . Let $m = o(g)$ then we have that $x = g^{-m}xg^m = x\rho^{km}$. Since ρ has infinite order we must have that $k = 0$.

(2) If $g \in G$ then g^2 centralizes ρ and hence is central. Since g has odd order we have that g is central.

(3) The proof of (2) applies.

(4) Suppose that this is false; then, by [1, Prop. 2], there exist $k > 1$, $x \in G$ such that $x^{-1}gx = g^k$. This implies that $g^{k-1} = [g, x] \in G'$. Set $n = k - 1$ and $h = g^n$; then the subgroup $\langle h \rangle$ is normal in G . Hence $x^{-1}hx \in \{h, h^{-1}\}$. But on the other hand $x^{-1}hx = h^k$ and hence we must have that $k = 1$, a contradiction. ■

Lemma 3.6 *Let G be a group such that G' is infinite cyclic. Then, for any torsion element $g \in G$, we have that $gK(g) = C_g$.*

Proof. Let $G' = \langle \rho \rangle$. Then, since G' is a normal subgroup, we have that $g^{-1}\rho g \in \{\rho, \rho^{-1}\}$. If $g^{-1}\rho g = \rho$ then, by Lemma 3.5, g is central. So we may suppose that $g^{-1}\rho g = \rho^{-1}$. In this case also $g\rho g^{-1} = \rho^{-1}$. Hence we have that $g^{-1}g\rho^{-1}g = g\rho$, i.e., $g\rho^{-1}$ is conjugated to $g\rho$. We now separate the proof in two cases:

Case 1: $K(g) \neq G'$.

Since $g^{-1}\rho g = \rho^{-1}$ and $K(g)$ is cyclic we must have that $K(g) = \langle \rho^2 \rangle$. Hence, by the Remark following Lemma 3.3, we have that $gK(g) = C_g$.

Case 2: $K(g) = G'$.

In this case, since G' is cyclic and ρ is inverted by elements not in its centralizer, we see easily that there is an element $t \in G$ such that $K(g) = \langle [g, t] \rangle$. In particular, we have that $[g, t] \in \{\rho, \rho^{-1}\}$. Hence g is conjugated either to $g\rho$ or to $g\rho^{-1}$. Since we have already proved that $g\rho$ is conjugate to $g\rho^{-1}$, we only have to prove that an element of $gK(g)$ is either conjugate to g or to $g\rho$. In fact, set $h = g\theta$ with $\theta \in K(g)$. If θ were a square then, by the Remark following Lemma 3.3, h is conjugate to g . If θ is not a square, we may write $h = g\rho\varphi$ where φ is a square. Hence, again by the same Remark, we have that h is conjugated to $g\rho$ which in turn is conjugated to g . ■

Theorem 3.7 *Let G be a group such that the derived subgroup of G is infinite cyclic. Then G is a UT-group.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be a torsion unit and $g \in G$ an element. If g is of infinite order then, by Proposition 2.1, we have that $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = 0$. If g is a torsion element then, by Lemma 3.6, we have that $\tilde{\alpha}(g) = \sum_{h \in gK(g)} \alpha(h)$. Since the element $gK(g)$ is central in the quotient group $G/K(g)$ we have, by [1, Prop. 4], that $\sum_{h \in gK(g)} \alpha(h) \in \{0, 1\}$. Since α has augmentation 1, the result is proved. ■

Let G be a group and (A_n) a descending chain of normal subgroups of G . Denote by $\Psi_n : G \rightarrow G/A_n$ the natural map and let F_n be the pre-image of $\Psi_n(C_{g_0})$, with $g_0 \in G$. In what follows we shall use this notation.

Proposition 3.8 *Let G be a group and (A_n) a descending chain of normal subgroups of G such that $\bigcap A_n = 1$. Then, with the notation above, for every element $g_0 \in G$ we have that $\bigcap F_n = C_{g_0}$.*

Proof. Let $\Psi_n : G \rightarrow G/A_n$ and F_n be as above. Clearly F_n is a normal subset of G so we may write it as a disjoint union of conjugacy classes, say $F_n = \bigcup C_{h_{nj}}$. Note that each h_{nj} is either in C_{g_0} or is not in C_{g_0} and is of the form $h_{nj} = g_0 \varphi_{nj}$ with $\varphi_{nj} \in A_n$. Since the family (A_n) is descending, we have that $F_{n+1} \subset F_n$. Now suppose that an element $h = g_0 \varphi$ appears in F_n and in F_{n+1} as a representative of a conjugacy class; then $\varphi \in A_{n+1}$. So if $h = g_0 \varphi$ appears in every F_n then it follows that $\varphi \in \bigcap A_n = 1$. Hence $\bigcap F_n = C_{g_0}$. ■

We still denote by Ψ_n the extension of $\Psi_n : G \rightarrow G/A_n$ to the group rings $\mathbb{Z}G$ and $\mathbb{Z}G/A_n$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbb{Z}G)$, $g \in G$ then put $\beta_n = \Psi_n(\alpha)$ and $\bar{g} = \Psi_n(g)$.

Theorem 3.9 *Let G , A_n , α and β_n be as above. Given an element $g_0 \in G$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on g_0 such that $\beta_{n_0}(\bar{g}_0) = \tilde{\alpha}(g_0)$.*

Proof. Since α has finite support we can choose a finite number of elements of G , say, g_1, \dots, g_k , representing the elements of the support of α . By Proposition 3.8, for every $1 \leq j \leq k$ there is an index m_j so that g_0 and g_j are not conjugate in G/A_{m_j} . Put $n_0 = \max\{m_j\}$; then g_0 is not conjugate to g_j in G/A_{n_0} for every $1 \leq j \leq k$. It follows that $\tilde{\beta}(\bar{g}_0) = \sum_{\bar{g} \sim \bar{g}_0} \alpha(g) = \tilde{\alpha}(g_0)$. ■

Corollary 3.10 *Let G and (A_n) be as in the previous theorem. If each G/A_n is a UT-group then G is also a UT-group.*

Proof. Since each G/A_n is a UT-group we have that $\alpha(g_0) \in \{0, 1\}$ and hence G is a UT-group. ■

Theorem 3.11 *Let G be a polycyclic group and suppose that every finite quotient of G is UT-group. Then G is a UT-group.*

Proof. We use induction on the Hirsch length of G . It is clear that we may suppose that G is not finite. By [7], G contains an abelian normal torsion free subgroup A . Setting $A_n = A^n$ we obtain a descending chain. Since A is an abelian polycyclic group we have that $\bigcap A_n = 1$. Now every G/A_n has shorter Hirsch length than G and since every finite quotient of G/A_n is isomorphic to a

finite quotient of G it follows that each G/A_n is a UT-group. The result follows by the previous corollary. ■

Note that the theorem says that polycyclic groups are UT-groups if and only if every finite soluble group is a UT-group. So, the conjecture of Zassenhaus for finite groups would imply that every polycyclic group is a UT-group.

We now look at the p -UT property. Note that the former results also apply in this case.

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of finite groups and G an arbitrary group. We say that G is an \mathcal{F} -group if every finite quotient of G is in \mathcal{F} . It is easy to see that every quotient of an \mathcal{F} -group is also an \mathcal{F} -group. Let us denote the set of finite soluble groups by \mathcal{F}_s then it is clear that every polycyclic group is an \mathcal{F}_s -group. We denote also by \mathcal{F}_f , \mathcal{F}_{ni} , \mathcal{F}_4 respectively the families of finite Frobenius groups, groups with nilpotent derived subgroup and solvable groups whose order is not divisible by p^3 , where p is any prime.

By results of [2, 4, 5] the families \mathcal{F}_f , \mathcal{F}_{ni} , \mathcal{F}_4 all have the p -UT-property and so we have the following result.

Theorem 3.12 *Let G be a polycyclic group. If G is an \mathcal{F} -group, with \mathcal{F} being one of the families above, then G is a p -UT group.*

Theorem 3.13 *Let G be a polycyclic group with nilpotent derived subgroup. Then G is a p -UT group. In particular supersoluble groups are p -UT groups.*

Acknowledgment: The first author is grateful to the Departamento de Matemática of the Universidade Federal of Paraíba, Brazil, for financial support and its warm hospitality.

References

- [1] Bovdi, A., Marciniak, Z., Sehgal, S. K. *Torsion Units in Infinite Group Rings*. J. Number Theory 47 (1994), 284-299.
- [2] Dokuchaev, M. A.; Juriaans, S. O. *Finite subgroups in integral group rings*. Canad. J. Math. 48 (1996), no. 6, 1170–1179.
- [3] Hertweck, Martin *Torsion units in integral group rings of certain metabelian groups*. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)51(2008), no.2, 363 – 385.
- [4] Juriaans, S. O. *Torsion units in integral group rings*. Canad. Math. Bull. 38 (1995), no. 3, 317–324.
- [5] Polcino Milies, C., Ritter, J., Sehgal, S. K. *On a conjecture of Zassenhaus on torsion units in integral group rings II*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 97 (2) (1986), 206-210.
- [6] Polcino Milies, C.; Sehgal, S. K. *An introduction to group rings. Algebras and Applications*, 1. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002. xii+371 pp. ISBN: 1-4020-0238-6.
- [7] Robinson, D. J. S. *A course in the theory of groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1980.
- [8] Sehgal, S. K. *Units of Integral Group Rings*, Longman's, Essex, 1993.

- [9] Valenti, A. *Torsion Units in Integral Group Rings*. Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. 120(1) (1994), 1-4.
- [10] Weiss, A. *Torsion units in integral group rings*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 415(1991), 175–187.

Juriaans, S.O.,
Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
Universidade de São Paulo
CP 66281
CEP 05311-970
São Paulo - Brazil
ostanley@usp.br

De A. E Silva, A.,
Departamento de Matemática
Universidade Federal da Paraíba
CEP 58051-900,
João Pessoa, Pb, Brazil,
andrade@mat.ufpb.br

Souza Filho, A. C.,
Escola de Artes, Ciências e Humanidade
Universidade de São Paulo
Rua Arlindo Bértio, 1000
CEP 03828-000
São Paulo - Brazil
acsouzafilho@usp.br